# **Transformers for Mathematics**

Simons and SLMath Workshop on AI for Mathematics and Theoretical CS

Sean Welleck April 8, 2025 github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

Carnegie Mellon University

## AI for Mathematics

System	Lyapanov function
$\begin{cases} \dot{x_0} = -5x_0^4 - 2x_0x_1^2 \\ \dot{x_1} = -9x_0^4 + 3x_0^2x_1 - 4x_1^3 \end{cases}$	$V(x) = 6x_1^2 + 7x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 10x_2^2 + 8x_1^2$
$\begin{cases} x_0 = -x_0^5 - 4x_0^5 - 9x_0x_1^4 + 3x_8x_1^5 \\ x_1 = -3x_1^4x_1^2 - 18x_0^5x_1 + 3x_8x_1^2 - 7x_1^5 \end{cases}$	$V(z) = x_0^4 + 9x_0^2 + 3x_1^2$
$\begin{cases} x_1 = -3\sigma_3^3 + 3\sigma_3 x_2 - 9x_1 \\ x_2 = -x_3^2 - 5x_3 + 5x_3^2 \\ x_3 = -9x_3^2 \end{cases}$	$V(x) = x_0^4 + 7x_0^4x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 4x_5x_3^4 + 3x_1^3 + 2x_2^4 + 10x_2^2$
$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{0} = -8x_{0}x_{1}^{2} - 10x_{1}^{2} \\ \dot{x}_{1} = -8x_{1}^{2} + 3x_{1}^{2} - 8x_{1} \\ \dot{x}_{2} = -x_{2} \end{cases}$	$V(z) = 4 x_1^2 - 2 x_0 x_1^2 + 6 x_1^4 + 4 x_1^2 + x_2^2$

Discovering Lyapunov functions [Alfarano et al 2024]



Finding counterexamples [Charton et al 2024] Assisting in proofs [LLMLean 2024]

## AI for Mathematics

System	Lyapanov function	
$\begin{cases} \dot{x_0} = -5x_0^4 - 2x_0x_1^2 \\ \dot{x_1} = -9x_0^4 + 3x_0^2x_1 - 4x_1^3 \end{cases}$	$V(x)=6x_0^6+7x_0^4+x_0^2+16x_0^2+8x_1^2$	
$\begin{cases} x_0 = -x_0^5 - 4x_0^5 - 9x_0x_1^4 + 3x_8x_1^5 \\ x_1 = -3x_0^2x_1^2 - 18x_0^3x_1 + 3x_8x_1^2 - 7x_1^5 \end{cases}$	$V(z) = x_0^4 + 9x_0^3 + 3x_1^3$	
$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = -3\sigma_{1}^{2} + 3\sigma_{2}x_{2} - 9x_{2} \\ \dot{x}_{1} = -x_{1}^{2} - 5x_{3} + 5\sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \dot{x}_{2} = -9x_{2}^{2} \end{cases}$	$V(x) = x_0^4 + 7x_0^4x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 4x_0x_3^2 + 3x_1^2 + 2x_2^4 + 10x_2^2$	
$\begin{cases} \vec{x}_{0} = -8x_{0}x_{1}^{2} - 10x_{1}^{4} \\ \vec{x}_{1} = -8x_{1}^{3} + 3x_{1}^{2} - 8x_{1} \\ \vec{x}_{2} = -x_{2} \end{cases}$	$V(s) = 4s_1^2 - 2s_1s_1^2 + 6s_1^4 + 4s_1^2 + s_2^2$	

Discovering Lyapunov functions [Alfarano et al 2024]



Finding

counterexamples [Charton et al 2024] Assisting in proofs [LLMLean 2024]

Common building block: generating sequences with Transformers

In this tutorial:

- 1. What is a transformer?
- 2. Examples of using transformers on mathematical data
- 3. Interactive notebook sessions

In this tutorial:

- 1. What is a transformer?
- 2. Examples of using transformers on mathematical data
- 3. Interactive notebook sessions

Notebooks: github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

Thank you to Adam Zsolt Wagner for help in putting together this tutorial.

- Transformers are a neural network architecture.
- Key part of large language models (LLMs) and generative AI in many domains.
- Maps an input sequence to an output sequence.



Transformers consist of multiple "layers" stacked together.

Key components:

- $\cdot$  Token embeddings
- $\cdot$  Self-attention mechanism



- Tokenization: represent an input as a sequence of discrete tokens
  - Example: a sentence as a sequence of characters



- Tokenization: represent an input as a sequence of discrete tokens
  - Example: a sentence as a sequence of characters
  - Example: a graph as an adjacency matrix string



## Representing inputs: tokenization

- Tokenization: represent an input as a sequence of discrete tokens
  - Example: a sentence as a sequence of characters
  - Example: a graph as an adjacency matrix string



**Takeaway:** transformers are general purpose! Just find a way to treat your input as a sequence of tokens and the transformer will accept it.

## Representing inputs: token embeddings

- Each token is associated with a vector called a *token embedding*.
- The token embeddings are passed to main layers of the transformer.



The **attention mechanism** is the fundamental building block of transformer layers.

- Lets the model focus on different parts of the input sequence when making predictions.
- Attention assigns different weights to each input element.
- These weights determine how much each element contributes to the output.



Attention

Consider the **bigram model**:

- Predicts the next letter based only on the previous letter.
- Example: when trained on a list of names, it produces names that lack coherence and context.
- To improve this, we could take the average of all previous token embeddings.
- But taking a simple average may not capture context well.

```
['mmin',
'jayvi',
'm',
'orynahaninarsaronzaiy',
'bireli',
'caiviron',
'mamizanasshon',
'i',
'cka',
'azemanartlougialecyamaitilee']
```

Names generated by the bigram model (bigrams.ipynb)

Consider the **bigram model**:

- Predicts the next letter based only on the previous letter.
- Example: when trained on a list of names, it produces names that lack coherence and context.
- To improve this, we could take the average of all previous token embeddings.
- But taking a simple average may not capture context well.

```
['mmin',
'jayvi',
'm',
'orynahaninarsaronzaiy',
'bireli',
'caiviron',
'mamizanasshon',
'i',
'cka',
'azemanartlougialecyamaitilee']
```

Names generated by the bigram model (bigrams.ipynb)

Takeaway: attention mechanisms learn to effectively use context.

- In self-attention, each token in the input sequence emits a **query** vector and a **key** vector.
  - Think of the **query** as asking, "What am I looking for?" It represents the token's context of interest.
  - The **key** is like asking, "What do I contain?" It represents the token's information.

## Self-Attention: A Closer Look

- In self-attention, each token in the input sequence emits a **query** vector and a **key** vector.
  - Think of the **query** as asking, "What am I looking for?" It represents the token's context of interest.
  - The **key** is like asking, "What do I contain?" It represents the token's information.
- To compute affinities between keys and queries, we take dot products:

Affinity $(Q_i, K_j) = Q_i \cdot K_j$ 

## Self-Attention: A Closer Look

- In self-attention, each token in the input sequence emits a **query** vector and a **key** vector.
  - Think of the **query** as asking, "What am I looking for?" It represents the token's context of interest.
  - The **key** is like asking, "What do I contain?" It represents the token's information.
- To compute affinities between keys and queries, we take dot products:

Affinity $(Q_i, K_j) = Q_i \cdot K_j$ 

• High alignment between a key and query results in a larger weight, indicating the importance of that token for the query.

• After computing affinities between queries and keys, we apply a **softmax** function to obtain normalized weights:

$$\mathsf{Attention}(Q_i, K_j) = \frac{\exp(Q_i \cdot K_j)}{\sum_j \exp(Q_i \cdot K_j)}$$

• After computing affinities between queries and keys, we apply a **softmax** function to obtain normalized weights:

$$\mathsf{Attention}(Q_i, K_j) = \frac{\mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}{\sum_j \mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}$$

• These normalized weights, often called **attention scores**, represent how much each key contributes to the query.

• After computing affinities between queries and keys, we apply a **softmax** function to obtain normalized weights:

$$\mathsf{Attention}(Q_i, K_j) = \frac{\mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}{\sum_j \mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}$$

- These normalized weights, often called **attention scores**, represent how much each key contributes to the query.
- We then use these attention scores to take a weighted sum of the **values** associated with the keys:

$$Context Vector(Q_i) = \sum_{j} Attention(Q_i, K_j) \cdot V_j$$

• After computing affinities between queries and keys, we apply a **softmax** function to obtain normalized weights:

$$\mathsf{Attention}(Q_i, K_j) = \frac{\mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}{\sum_j \mathsf{exp}(Q_i \cdot K_j)}$$

- These normalized weights, often called **attention scores**, represent how much each key contributes to the query.
- We then use these attention scores to take a weighted sum of the **values** associated with the keys:

Context Vector
$$(Q_i) = \sum_j \text{Attention}(Q_i, K_j) \cdot V_j$$

• The resulting **context vector** for each query captures relevant information from the entire sequence.

- Recap: In self-attention, we have three vectors at each token:
  - 1. **Query**: Think of it as saying, "Here is what I'm interested in." It represents the token's context of interest.
  - 2. **Key**: Consider it as answering, "Here is what I have." It represents the token's stored information.
  - 3. Value: Imagine it as conveying, "If you find me interesting, here is what I will communicate to you." It's the token's contribution to the output.
- Together, these networks enable self-attention to dynamically weigh and combine information from across the sequence.

We still need to train the model so that it learns vector representations that are useful for a task. Example:

• Language modeling: make the vector at each position useful for predicting the next token



Language modeling

We still need to train the model so that it learns vector representations that are useful for a task. Example:

- Language modeling: make the vector at each position useful for predicting the next token
  - Introduce an output layer that maps the vector to a probability for each possible next-token



Language modeling

We still need to train the model so that it learns vector representations that are useful for a task. Example:

- Language modeling: make the vector at each position useful for predicting the next token
  - Introduce an output layer that maps the vector to a probability for each possible next-token
  - Minimize a loss that makes the next-token that appears in the training sequence more probable



Language modeling

To train the transformer language model we supply a training set of sequences. The model is trained to predict each next token, i.e., increase the token's probability given the previous tokens.

```
['miko',
  'tenuun',
  'issachar',
  'katana',
  'amelie',
  'doha',
  'burk',
  'daran',
  'ryelan',
  'avelino']
```

Examples from the training set (transformer.ipynb)

After training, we can generate new sequences with the language model. We generate one token at a time according to the model's next-token probabilities.

> maryna inattin jairem naisel pesen payceo zachia vilery poana

Transformer-generated names that don't appear in the training set

After training, we can generate new sequences with the language model. We generate one token at a time according to the model's next-token probabilities.

maryna inattin jairem naisel pesen payceo zachia vilery poana

Transformer-generated names that don't appear in the training set

Enough names! Let's generate mathematical things

- $\cdot$  Toy examples
- Computations
- Discovery

Dataset: random {0,1,2} strings of random length between 10 and 15, that also contain a unique "3" in a random position.

- 1102010<u>3</u>221
- 202<u>3</u>2212010
- 011212<u>3</u>211221120

We train a transformer on this for a long time. What will the attention heatmap look like?

#### Heatmap: exactly one 3



17

Dataset: each sequence is:

- a name
- $\cdot$  the count of each unique character that occurs in the name

anna→a2n2 simons→s2i1m1o1n1

## Toy examples: let's build some intuition!

- Let's generate 1000 sequences with the trained model:
  - 96.6% correct
  - 65.5% novel (not in training set)

```
['joangelo$j102a1n1g1e111',
'salen$s1a1le1n1',
'larbella$l3a2r1b1e1',
'jilianna$j1i2l1a2n2',
'jeliani$j1e1112a1n1',
'elysen$e2l1y1s1n1',
'laniish$l1a1n12s1h1',
'narvio$n1a1r1v1101',
'andersi$a1nd1e1r1s11',
'aldio$a111d11101']
```

Example correct and novel generations

## Toy examples: let's build some intuition!

- Let's generate 1000 sequences with the trained model:
  - 96.6% correct
  - 65.5% novel (not in training set)

```
['joangelo$j102a1n1g1e111',
'salen$s1a11e1n1',
'larbella$13a2r1b1e1',
'jilianna$j1i211a2n2',
'jeliani$j1e111i2a1n1',
'elysen$e211y1s1n1',
'laniish$11a1n1i2s1h1',
'narvio$n1a1r1v1101',
'andersi$a1n1d1e1r1s11',
'aldio$a111d1101']
```

Example correct and novel generations

**Takeaway:** a bit noisy (96.6%), but it learns the pattern pretty well and is not just memorizing the training data!

Accuracy on held out names: 97.3%

Most failure cases:

- Characters with count 3 or 4
  - $\cdot \text{ evelee} \rightarrow e \frac{3}{2} v 1 l 1$
- Model forgets to generate a character's count
  - $\cdot \text{ stockto}\underline{\mathbf{n}} {\rightarrow} \text{ slt2o2clk1}$

Accuracy on held out names: 97.3%

Adversarial cases:

- $\textbf{\cdot} \text{ aaa} \rightarrow \textbf{ab1b1a2}$
- $\cdot \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{s3an} \rightarrow \text{a1a1a1a1a1a1a1a1a1}$

• ...

- $\cdot$  Toy examples
- $\cdot$  Computations
- Discovery

- $\cdot$  Toy examples
- $\cdot$  Computations
- Discovery

Add two 4-digit integers:

- · 2871+9281=12152
- · 1000+1000=2000
- ...
- Around 40 million unique unordered pairs.

Add two 4-digit integers:

- · 2871+9281=12152
- · 1000+1000=2000
- ...
- Around 40 million unique unordered pairs.

Plan:

- Train a transformer language model on a dataset of 5 million addition problems.
- Evaluate it on problems that aren't in the training set.

## Simple computations: addition

- Test accuracy: 100.0%
- It can generate full problems that aren't in the training set:

2036+2045=4081 2478+2311=4789 4024+4833=8857 8577+1026=9603 3619+3435=7054 5685+8368=14053 3106+6791=9897

New addition problems

## Simple computations: addition

- Test accuracy: **100.0%**
- It can generate full problems that aren't in the training set:

2036+2045=4081 2478+2311=4789 4024+4833=8857 8577+1026=9603 3619+3435=7054 5685+8368=14053 3106+6791=9897

New addition problems

Can transformers learn some non-trivial computations?

Lample and Charton trained a transformer to take in an equation as a sequence of tokens, and output its integral as a sequence of tokens.

Equation	Solution
$y' = \frac{16x^3 - 42x^2 + 2x}{(-16x^8 + 112x^7 - 204x^6 + 28x^5 - x^4 + 1)^{1/2}}$	$y = \sin^{-1}(4x^4 - 14x^3 + x^2)$
$3xy\cos(x) - \sqrt{9x^2\sin(x)^2 + 1}y' + 3y\sin(x) = 0$	$y = c \exp\left(\sinh^{-1}(3x\sin(x))\right)$
$4x^{4}yy'' - 8x^{4}y'^{2} - 8x^{3}yy' - 3x^{3}y'' - 8x^{2}y^{2} - 6x^{2}y' - 3x^{2}y'' - 9xy' - 3y = 0$	$y = \frac{c_1 + 3x + 3\log(x)}{x(c_2 + 4x)}$

Problems that the transformer was able to solve, on which Mathematica and Matlab were not able to find a solution.

Lample and Charton trained a transformer to take in an equation as a sequence of tokens, and output its integral as a sequence of tokens.

Equation	Solution
$y' = \frac{16x^3 - 42x^2 + 2x}{(-16x^8 + 112x^7 - 204x^6 + 28x^5 - x^4 + 1)^{1/2}}$	$y = \sin^{-1}(4x^4 - 14x^3 + x^2)$
$3xy\cos(x) - \sqrt{9x^2\sin(x)^2 + 1}y' + 3y\sin(x) = 0$	$y = c \exp\left(\sinh^{-1}(3x\sin(x))\right)$
$4x^{4}yy'' - 8x^{4}y'^{2} - 8x^{3}yy' - 3x^{3}y'' - 8x^{2}y^{2} - 6x^{2}y' - 3x^{2}y'' - 9xy' - 3y = 0$	$y = \frac{c_1 + 3x + 3\log(x)}{x(c_2 + 4x)}$

Problems that the transformer was able to solve, on which Mathematica and Matlab were not able to find a solution.

Each integral can be **verified** by taking its derivative. As a result, we can **generate multiple candidates** and discard the incorrect ones.

The recipe of synthesizing data and training a transformer language model has been explored for several other prediction problems:

The recipe of synthesizing data and training a transformer language model has been explored for several other prediction problems:

• Solutions to 1st and 2nd-order ODEs [Lample & Charton 2019]

Input:  $162x \log(x)y' + 2y^{3} \log(x)^{2} - 81y \log(x) + 81y = 0$ Generated solutions:  $\frac{9\sqrt{x}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\log(x)}}}{\sqrt{c+2x}}$   $\frac{9}{\sqrt{\frac{c\log(x)}{x} + 2\log(x)}}$   $9\sqrt{x}\sqrt{\frac{1}{c\log(x) + 2x\log(x) + \log(x)}}$  The recipe of synthesizing data and training a transformer language model has been explored for several other computations:

- Solutions to 1st and 2nd-order ODEs [Lample & Charton 2019]
- Properties of differential systems (e.g., stability) [Charton et al 2021]
- Global Lyapunov functions [Alfarano et al 2024]
- Frobenius traces from elliptic curves [Babei et al 2025]

• ...

What problems are suitable? Some common themes:

- Verifiable. E.g. we can often verify that  $\int f = g$  by taking its derivative with a computer algebra system.
- **Data generator**. A lot of ingenuity goes into creating a data generator, e.g. synthesizing random functions and pairing a function with its derivative

- $\cdot$  Toy examples
- Computations
- $\cdot$  Discovery

## Generating constructions (based on [Charton et al 2024 [1]]

#### Problem

How many edges can an n-vertex graph have, if no three edges form a triangle?



Example triangle-free graphs for n = 3 to 6. From [1].

#### Plan: generate many graphs that satisfy this triangle-free property

Plan: generate many graphs that satisfy this triangle-free property

• Naive search: keep randomly deleting an edge that is in the maximum number of triangles. Then keep adding random edges that don't introduce a triangle.

$$score(G) = #edges(G)$$
 (1)



Score distribution for 100,000 graphs found by the naive search

1400104001181811081, 01100000000100010, 0110010001001, 0101010000000100, 01101000000100, 00011001, 010011001
0210102101000, 0101000111000, 0101000111000, 001000110001110001, 100011000111000, 01000010001
0112001101001001000,0110001000,00110000010,0011000001,01000000
81695091011011104011, 1040010111104011, 6160010401100011, 60101001100011, 60101001100011, 60101011104011, 60101011104011, 6010101010401104011, 6010101010401104011, 6010101010401104011, 60101010401104011, 6010101010401104011, 6010101010401104011, 60101010401104011, 60101010401104011, 601010401040000000000000000000000000000
010100000011010100, 011010010000000, 0000010001
0011010110000, 0110010111010000000, 110011111000001000, 0110000, 0000010001
9209192110911612091101200100011111001, 0010010011011001, 001001001101001, 100100110120020014, 9102001101111001, 010010001101, 01001001, 01001001, 010010001, 010010001, 010010001, 010010000, 010010000, 01001000000, 0100100000, 0100000000
011011110100000010,010011010,0000100101101
14011110100101010, 1140100101140, 0140100101140, 010101010, 0101010, 0101010, 0101010, 0101010, 0101010, 0101010, 01000, 0000, 0000, 0000, 0000, 0000, 00000,
021100210011012010, 11010010, 1101010, 1001011012010, 101011012010, 01011110020, 01011110020, 1201010120100, 12011110120, 11010101001001, 011100100, 010110, 010101, 010101, 01011, 110, 000, 0
02002001101100110010, 0100100100100101, 0100100001, 0100101000, 01010101
0110400114014004010101, 101010101004000111, 0001400101010000, 0100400040101, 00010111000, 010010111000, 010010111000, 010010111000, 010010111, 000101010, 0000111, 000101010000, 0100101010000, 01001010000, 01001010000, 01001010, 0000111, 00010101000, 01001010000, 01001010000, 0100100000, 0100100000, 0100100000, 0100100000, 0100100000, 0100000000
011001001001011010, 01001010101010101010
81184011401401401401,0100100010110001,0114001,011400100100010
02101020110112080020,01110100011010,00001100,01001001001001
0100101110110010, tototottottottottottottottottottottotto
0102010110100, 01000110000,00000000, 10101101000,00000000
1100010101010,010010010,010010010,010010
1011000101010, 1000110100100101010101010
01011140040100010000,00110011000100,001000110011
01010011010101010101010101010101010101

Let's represent each adjacency matrix as a string, and a train a transformer!



The transformer learns to generate graphs that have a similar score distribution.



Instead, train the transformer on the top 10% of graphs from the local search.



Running local search from this transformer's generated constructions results in several high-scoring graphs (score 100)

Perfect Graph 1 (Triangle-Free) is bipartite=True



The score 100 graphs are isomorphic, and are bipartite graphs

*PatternBoost* by Charton-Ellenberg-Wagner-Williamson generalizes this idea. It alternates between:

- Local phase: classical search algorithm produces constructions
- **Global phase**: train a Transformer on the best constructions, then generate candidates that are passed to the next local phase

They apply it to several non-trivial problems.

#### Question (Brualdi-Cao)

How large can the permanent of a binary n × n matrix be, that does not contain the pattern 312?



Example construction for n = 25 with permanent 5,101,230. The initial local search gets 641,000.

## PatternBoost [Charton-Ellenberg-Wagner-Williamson 2024]

#### Conjecture (Graham & Harary 1992)

The maximum number of edges one can delete from the d-dimensional hypercube without increasing its diameter is  $2^d + \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .



Counterexample discovered that has d = 6 with  $81 < 2^6 + {6 \choose 3} - 2 = 82$  edges.

- 1. What is a transformer?
- 2. Examples of using transformers on mathematical data
  - $\cdot$  Toy examples
  - $\cdot$  Computations
  - Discovery
- 3. Interactive notebook sessions

For the next two sessions we will provide you with interactive notebooks to work through.

wellecks	/ transformers4math-simons		Q Type [] to se
⊙ Issue	s 🛱 Pull requests 🕑 Actions 🖽 Projects	🕮 Wiki 🕕 Security 🗠 Insights	lo Settings
	Itransformers4math-simons Public		🖈 Pin 💿 Unwatch 1
	🐉 main 👻 🎖 1 Branch 🗞 0 Tags		t Add file 👻 <> Code 👻
	I wellecks Merge branch 'main' of github.com:wel		3d0c4f9 · 7 hours ago 🕐 9 Commits
	🖿 1_bigram		
	2_transformer		
	3_addition		
	4_graphs		

## https://github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

For the next two sessions we will provide you with interactive notebooks to work through.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

For the next two sessions we will provide you with interactive notebooks to work through.<sup>1</sup>

- **Transformers and language models**: see concretely how transformers and language models work.
  - **bigrams.ipynb**: the simplest possible language model.
  - transformers.ipynb: a transformer implementation and detailed code for training it as a language model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

For the next two sessions we will provide you with interactive notebooks to work through.<sup>1</sup>

- **Transformers and language models**: see concretely how transformers and language models work.
  - **bigrams.ipynb**: the simplest possible language model.
  - transformers.ipynb: a transformer implementation and detailed code for training it as a language model.
- Makemore on mathematical sequences: train a transformer using a library as a black box, and evaluate its generations.
  - addition.ipynb: train a transformer to do 4-digit addition as in the talk.
  - graphs.ipynb: train a transformer to generate triangle-free graphs and reproduce the plot from the talk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

## https://github.com/wellecks/transformers4math-simons

Special thank you to Leni Aniva, Jeremy Avigad, and Adam Wagner for helping with the preparation of this material.

Sean Welleck,CMU wellecksබcmu.edu F. Charton, J. S. Ellenberg, A. Z. Wagner, and G. Williamson. Patternboost: Constructions in mathematics with a little help from ai, 2024.